Jan. 1-Dec. 31 1999	Bandwidth	Equipment	Service Level
Without E-Rate Funding, assuming large increase in PC count at schools	 All schools revert to single ISDN connection in an orderly fashion. ENA assists schools with available funds to maintain bandwidth upgrades. 	 Previously installed routers remain and are reconfigured to single ISDN connectivity. Education Hub Sites and all previously installed caching remain, benefiting all schools. 	Approximately 30 percent of K-12 schools meet 2-page per minute service level.
With Continued E-Rate Funding	Approximately 90 percent of schools have upgraded bandwidth connections.	 100 percent of initial ENA school upgrades are in place Remaining Cabletron school routers are replaced with new scaleable routers. 	100 percent of K-12 schools achieve average response time of 2 pages per minute

Jan. 1-Dec. 31 2000	Bandwidth '	Equipment	Service Level
Without E-Rate Funding, assuming large increase in PC count at schools	 All schools revert to single ISDN connection in an orderly fashion. ENA assists schools with available funds to maintain bandwidth upgrades. 	 Previously installed routers remain and are reconfigured to single ISDN connectivity. Education Hub Sites and all previously installed caching servers remain, benefiting all schools. 	Approximately 25 percent of K-12 schools meet 2-page per minute service level.
With Continued E-Rate Funding	100 percent of schools have upgraded bandwidth connections.	100 percent of ENA proposed school upgrades are in place.	 100 percent of K-12 schools achieve average response time of 2 pages per minute. Network is scaled to meet service levels as required.

Jan. 1-Dec. 31 2001	Bandwidth	Equipment	Service Level
Without E-Rate Funding, assuming large increase in PC count at schools	 All schools revert to single ISDN connection in an orderly fashion. ENA assists schools with available funds to maintain bandwidth upgrades. 	Previously installed routers remain and are reconfigured to single ISDN connectivity. Education Hub Sites and all previously installed caching servers remain, benefiting all schools.	Approximately 20 percent of K-12 schools will meet the 2 web pages per minute service level, based on reduced bandwidth and projected increase in PCs statewide.
With Continued E-Rate Funding	100 percent of schools have upgraded bandwidth connections	100 percent of ENA proposed school upgrades are in place.	 100 percent of K-12 schools achieve average response time of 2 pages per minute Network is scaled to meet service levels as required.

End User Access Standards

Target	cess Standards	Strategy and Priorities to	Measurement
Performance	Rationale	Achieve Target	Technique
Response Time	Assumed average 50,000-	Education Hub Site (EHS)	End user response time
Target: 2 Web	byte screen with 10 mixed	caching installations	will be measured by a
pages per Minute	graphics and text elements.	provide an average 74%	random sample of web
per PC;	8	reduction in actual traffic	requests measured at
Low Range:	School local area network	to the Internet. This target	the application level by
Degradation	and attached PCs are	is based on the State of	an automated process.
continues without	assumed error-free and	Utah's caching experience	Reports will be
E-Rate	within normal utilization	in a similar education	delivered to SDE
enhancement.	parameters.	environment. ConnecTEN	monthly.
	^ .	will experience greater	1
School bandwidth	Percentage of PCs at any	benefits due to caching at	!
will be allocated	given instant actually	ECRs and large schools.	ł i
by PC count up to	requesting information	}	1
a 10:1 ratio of	from the Internet can vary	High capacity egress	
students-to-PCs.	from 10% to 50%,	points into Education Hub	
	depending on usage,	Sites will improve traffic	l l
	during class times.	flow to network-wide	
	Ü	caching and Internet	
	Educational Hub Site, ECR	access points.	
	and on-site school caching,	•	
	in conjunction with	Upgrades to dedicated	
	upgraded bandwidth to	data service at schools will	
	schools, will make the 2	provide improved traffic	1
	web pages per minute	flow to EHS access points.	}
	target an achievable goal.	•	1
·		Traffic from the larger	1
	{	schools that have been	•
1		upgraded to CDS will no	
		longer flow to ECRs. A	· .
		reduction in traffic to ECRs	1
		will reduce congestion at	Į.
		ECRs, and make more	
1		effective use of the T1	
		connection to OIR.	
	}	j	
		Schools that require	
	h 1	greater access at the	1
		county ECR level will be	1
		upgraded to dual ISDN, or	1
	1	dedicated data service	1
		where appropriate.	

Target Network Availability Standards

	Tunger Netwo	K Availability Standards	Strategy and Priorities to	Measurement
	Target Performance	Rationale	Achieve Target	Technique
	Network	According to statistics	Lucent's two- and four-	Help Desk software
٠. إ	Availability of	provided by SDE, the	hour field service will	measures metrics of
-	ISDN=connected	average number of	bring improvement to	network outages.
	Schools connected -	concurrent failed routers	actual Network	Network Availability
	with existing -	ranges between 30 and 50.	Availability due to faster	reports will be
- [routers. Target: 97%;	No improvement to the	repair.	produced monthly.
1	Low Range: 95%	Cabletron equipment		Carrier's Mean Time
1	/	failure rate is foreseen with		to Repair (MTTR) will
1	Line	the exception of Mean Time		be monitored to
	:16	to Repair.		ensure compliance
1	"/(with contracted
				performance
		`		standards.
ſ	Network	Higher reliability of new	New scaleable routers	Help Desk software
1	Availability of	hardware will decrease	will be deployed in all	measures metrics of
1	ISDN-connected	failure rate, increasing	schools and all ECRs to	network outages.
1	schools with new	Network Availability.	facilitate bandwidth	Network Availability
	scaleable router and	'	upgrades.	reports will be
ļ	new ECR			produced.
ł	equipment. Target:		1	Carrier's Mean Time
1	99%; Low Range:			to Repair (MTTR) will
1	98%	Ì	!	be monitored to
1	- / /			ensure compliance
	e chia	į	ľ	with contracted
1	C11			performance
L				standards.
1	Network	Higher reliability of	New routers will be	Help Desk software
	Availability of	new hardware will	deployed.	measures metrics of
	Schools upgraded to	decrease failure rate,	j	network outages.
	Dedicated Data	increasing Network		Network Availability
ı	Service and new	Availability.	!	reports will be
•	router hardware.	BellSouth CDS has	1	produced monthly.
	Target: 99%; Low	published service levels	1	Carrier's Mean Time
1	Range: 98.5%	exceeding 99.9% for the	į	to Repair (MTTR) will
	İ	carrier's internal		be monitored to
1	}	network. Therefore,		ensure compliance
1	ļ	99% is a reasonable		with contracted
	1	expectation.	i i	performance
L				standards.

Target Field Repair Standards

		Strategy and Priorities to Achieve	Measurement
Target Performance	Rationale	Target	Technique
Education Hub Site (EHS) Equipment Repair. Target: 2 hours; Low Range: 6 hours	Education Hub Sites are highly critical sites and must be given top priority for repair.	Two dedicated engineers from ISDN-Net and two NCR engineer technicians at the NOC, and 2-hour, onsite field service by Lucent, will provide immediate proactive response for any problems detected.	Help Desk software measures metrics of network outages. Network Availability reports will be produced.
School and ECR Router Equipment Repair. Target: 2 hours in major metropolitan areas, 4 hours in rural areas; Low Range: 6 Hours	Lucent field service personnel will arrive on-site with spare parts, test equipment, and in-depth knowledge of equipment. Therefore, Help Desk technician is not reliant on TCs in schools acting as a field service technician.	Twelve Lucent field service personnel dedicated to the State account are currently performing router maintenance for all TNII customers and are already meeting two-and four-hour response time service levels for all 95 counties in Tennessee. The same field service personnel will be employed to support the K-12 schools.	Help Desk software measures metrics of network outages. Network Availability reports will be produced.

DNS Service Enhancements

		Strategy and Priorities to Achieve	Measurement
Target Performance	Rationale	Target	Technique
DNS Response Times Standards: Target Response Times: 80% of internal names resolved within 1 second. 95% of internal names resolved within 2 seconds. 100% of internal names resolved	DNS is crucial to achieving response time objectives on ConnecTEN Network. Target response times quantifies realistic expectations among SDE, OIR, and ENA.	K-12 DNS servers are deployed at Education hub sites under OIR's DNS authority. ENA-contracted NCR engineers David Jones and Jeff Little will work with OIR to merge DNS Services.	DNS sample measurement is made by an automated process to quantify actual response time of DNS request. DNS response reports will be produced monthly.
within 10 seconds.			

ENA Quarterly Service Levels One time system delivery or upgrade	of send		 			 	 	 	 -		}	l	-	
	1st	2nd	3rd	411		l	- -		<u></u>		l			1
evel of Service	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	4lh	5th	6th	71h	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	13th	1411
Rasic Network Delivered	X	Qui		Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr	Qtr
II Network Expansion Module	^				<u>.</u>		} 		.					
III Regional Education Hubs	- X						<u> </u>							
IV Major Metro Hubs	- <u>^</u>						 .	<u> </u>						1
V County Caching	- <u>^</u> -	 	 _			<u> </u>					<u> </u>		·	
VI Major School Caching	X	X	X	X	X	X	<u> </u>							
VII Large School Caching	<u> </u>	ļ <u></u>	ļ	· · · -		ļ								
VIII Dual ISDN Group 1	 	X	X			l								1
IX Dual ISDN Group 2	X	ļ					<u> </u>							
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		X				1						1		ĺ
	X										İ			!
		X		,										}
			X											ļ
		<u></u>		X		} ``			}	• •				1
	L.,				X		1			,		-		
	•	}				X	1							
]		·		ļ	X		}			}		}
XVII New ISDN Routers I	X					· ···				•				1
XVIII New ISDN Routers II		X			· ·			=====				!· ··	}	1
				•			·	!·						
Ongoing Service levels			T											
Basic Bandwidth	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	V	X
OIR Annual Connection	X			*** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- x	·		·:	x		}	<u> </u>	X	^
Dual ISDN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	<u>x</u>	X	-	x	X
Broad Band Groups	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	- <u>X</u>	$\frac{\overline{X}}{X}$	$\frac{X}{X}$		1
ICR Support	X				X		 	 	X X X				l Ş	X
Basic Help Desk	X				X		 		X		·		X X X	
nstallation Management	X				X			 -				 	^	
letwork Downgrade guarantee	X	Χ	X	X	X	X	X	 	ļ . 				İ	}
Annual Maintenance	X				X									İ
Annual Field Service Fee	X				X				X				١ ٧	
letwork Capacity Supplement	X	Х	X	- x	X	X	X	<u>x</u>	X	- · · X	X	X	X	
						f			2			· · · •	^	
OTES: All one time upgrades include I	nardware	, softwa	re, unit e	ngineeri	na field	installet	ion and	lesting	l					
X illustrates the timing of payme	nt for se	rvices a	ssumina	full e-rai	e fundin	a for the	contrac	t neriod	í					
	 -	r					r	r period.		··			! :	1

FULL E-RATE FUNDING

Proposed Type	# of	6 mo per	1st 6 mo.	2nd 6 mo.	3rd 6 mo.	4th 6 mo.	5th 6 mo.	6th 6 ma	7th 6 mo.	Total
of Expense	Sites	site cost	Jul-Dec 98*	Jan-Jun 99*	Jul-Dec 99*	Jan-Jun 00*	Jul-Dec 00*	Jan-Jun Ol*	Jul-Dec 01.	3.5 yrs.***
•										•
Local Site total costs: (a)			·							
Sites with < 30 computers	400								\$ 144,000 \$	1,008,000
Sites with 30-60 computers	1000		<u> </u>						\$ 360,000 \$	2,520,000
Sites with 61-120 computers	300								\$ 108,000 \$	756,000
Sites with >120 computers	100									252,000
Subtotal for local sites	1800	1	\$648,00	\$648,0	00 \$648,0	00 \$648,00	0 \$648,000	\$648,000	\$648,000	\$4,536,000
Chana Darahkara & Tanasan (h)	0.6	£10.504	* *1.00/ *0	n +1554	20 51 006 6		0 51.004.430	£1.004.430	e cade	20462401
State Backbone & Internet (b)	95	\$10,596								7,046,340
Any additional Backbone cost (c)			\$110,77	5 \$110,7	75 \$116,0	50 \$142,42	5 \$142,425	\$142,425	\$142,425 \$	907,300
Other one-time costs (c)			\$17,684,25	1 \$2,511,6	95 \$1,557,6	95 \$233,25	3 \$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$22,136,894
Other recurring costs (c)			\$5,550,35							\$39,726,407
			33,530,53	1 27,722,7	1.4		-1			333,120,101
Total All Costs (h)			\$25,000,00	0 \$12,000,0	8,820,82	24 \$6,882,35	3 \$7,470,588	\$7,470,588	\$7,470,588	\$74,352,941
(sum check) (h)										\$74,352,941
•									L	

Sources of Payments(d)										
Amount paid by State & Local ***(d.i)			\$1,000,00	\$4,080,0	\$2,740,0	00 \$2,340,00	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000 \$	17,780,000
Amount of Other Funding offered										
by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500,00	00	\$0	\$0 5	S O S O	\$0	\$ 0\$	7,500,000
Savings from existing State & Local								.,	Ţ	
paid to proposer for expansion (d.iii)				0			\$0 \$0		1	20
Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)			\$16,500,00	\$7,920,	55,318,8	24 \$4,542,3	\$4,930,58	\$4,930,588	\$4,930,588 \$	49,072,941
Total All Payments: *** (d.v)			\$25,000,0	00 \$12,000,	000 \$8,058,	\$6,882,3	53 \$7,470,58	\$7,470,588	\$7,470,588	£24.262.041)
(sum check)	-		323,000,0	312,000,	30,038,1	30,862,3	37,470,38	ol 37,470,360	1 37,470,366	\$74,352,941
Total Savings proposed by vendor	•								L	\$74,352,941
under current state & local costs (c)				50	\$0	\$0	50 50	0) \$0	02 (0	\$0
ander correct state & focal costs (c)			<u> </u>	0	30	301	30] 3	3	4 301	301
Calculations of FCC discount	Disc		1st 6 ma.	2nd 6 mo.	3rd 6 mo.	4th 6 mo.	5th 6 mo.	6th 6 ma.	7th 6 mo.	Total
for each 6 mo. Period	% **		Jul-Dec 98*	Jan-Jun 99*	Jul-Dec 99			Jan-Jun Ol*	Jul-Dec 01*	3.5 yrs***
			LELEXIA.	CENTAIN A.	LHI. BEST. ZZ.	· pen-ani-xa-	Lance Annual Control	FEITHER STA	OLLIEST.	Elkalik
Costs eligible for FCC discount	66%		\$25,000,0	00 \$12,000	000 \$8,058,	824 \$6,882,3	53 \$7,470,58	8 \$7,470,58	8 \$7,470,588	\$74,352,941
Costs ineligible for FCC disount	0%			50	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$	0 50		\$0
			•							
Sum of all discounts from FCC			\$16,500,0	00 \$7,920	000 \$5,318	824 \$4,542,3	\$4,930,58	\$4,930,58	8 \$4,930,588	\$49,072,941
(sum check)										\$49,072,941
*See explanatory note (g)							•			
**See explanatory note (f)										

NO E-RATE FUNDING

Proposed Type	# of	6 mo per	lst 6 mo.	2n	id 6 ma.	310	d 6 mo.	41)	h 6 ma	5th 6 mo.	61	h 6 mo.	7th	6 ma.		Total
of Expense	Sites	site cost	Jul-Dec 98*	Je	n-Jun 99*	Jul	-Dec 99*	jar	n-Jun 00*	lul-Dec 00+	ja	n-Jun 01*	Jul-	Dec 01 •	<u>3.</u> ;	5 yrs.***
Local Site total costs: (a)				-	141.000	_	144.000	1 -	144.000]	. 144.00		144000	T-	144.000 [
Sites with < 30 computers	400 1000					5		3		\$ 144,00 \$ 360,00				144,000 S		1,008,000
Sites with 30-60 computers Sites with 61-120 computers	300													108,000		2,520,000
Sites with >120 computers	100					3				\$ 36,00				36,000		756,000 252,000
Subtotal for local sites	1800		\$648,00		\$648,000		\$648,000		\$648,000	\$648,0		\$648,000		\$648,000	<u>-</u>	\$4,536,000
State Backbone & Internet (b)	95	\$10,59	6 \$1,006,62	n	\$1,006,620	1	\$1,006,620)	\$1,006,620	\$1,006,6	20	\$1,006,620)	\$1,006,620	•	7,046,340
Any additional Backbone cost (c)				<u>ज</u>	\$0		\$0		\$0		0	\$0		\$0		-,010,510
Other one-time costs (c)			\$7,500,00	100	\$0	T	\$0)	\$0		sol	\$0	J	50	\$	7,500,000
Other recurring costs (c)			\$885,38		\$885,380		\$1,085,380		\$685,380	\$885,3	80	\$885,380	工	\$885,380	\$	6,197,660
Total All Costs (h) (sum check) (h)			\$10,040,00	0	\$2,540,000	1	\$2,740,000	9	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,0	00	\$2,540,000	o	\$2,540,000		\$25,280,000 \$25,280,000
***************************************	••••													Ĺ		325,200,000
Sources of Payments(d)								-								
Amount paid by State & Local***(d.i)			\$2,540,00	00	\$2,540,00		\$2,740,000	0	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,0	00	\$2,540,00	0	\$2,540,000	\$	17,780,000
Amount of Other Funding offered																
by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500,00	00	\$(\$(0	\$0		20	\$1	0	\$0		\$7,500,000
Savings from existing State & Local				_						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
paid to proposer for expansion (d.iii)				10	\$(_	\$(\$0		20	<u> </u>		20		\$0
Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)	l		<u></u>	0	\$(<u> </u>	\$(0	\$0	L	\$0	<u> </u>	0	\$0		\$0
Total All Payments: *** (d.v)	r		\$10,040,00	00	\$2,540,00	q	\$2,740,00	o	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,	000	\$2,540,00	Ю	\$2,540,000		\$25,280,000
(sum check)																\$25,280,000
Total Savings proposed by vendor				1				<u> </u>	\$0		601		-			
under current state & local costs (e)			<u> </u>	so	\$	7	S	<u> </u>	30	'1	\$0]		0	\$0	<u> </u>	\$0
Calculations of FCC discount	Disc		lst 6 mo.	2	Ind 6 mo.	3	ird 6 mo.	4	Ith 6 mo.	5th 6 mo.		6th 6 mo.	7:	th 6 ma.		Total
for each 6 mo. Period	% **		Jul-Dec 98*		an-Jun 99*	_	ul-Dec 99*	į	an-Jun 00*	Jul-Dec 00	•	Jan-Jun 01*	Ŋ	ul-Dec 01 •		3.5 yrs***
Costs eligible for FCC discount	66%			\$0	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	0	\$()	\$0		02	\$0	_	02
Costs ineligible for FCC disount	0%			\$0		o		0	\$(0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0
Sum of all discounts from FCC				02		0	<u> </u>	0	\$(0	\$0		[02	\$0		20
(sum check) *See explanatory note (g)														,	L	\$0
**See explanatory note (f)																
944C																

NO E-RATE FUNDING AFTER 6 MONTHS

Proposed Type	# of	6 mo per	1st 6 mo.	2nd 6	mo. 3	rd 6 mo.	4th 6 ma.	5th 6 mo.	6th 6 mo.	7th 6 mo.	Total
of Expense	Sites	site cost	Jul-Dec 98°	Jan-Ju	n 99° J	ul-Dec 99*	Jan-Jun 00*	Jul-Dec 00*	Jan-Jun 01*	Jul-Dec 01*	3.5 YES.***
Local Site total costs: (a)										,	<u> </u>
Sites with < 30 computers	400					\$ 144,000	\$ 144,00				1,008,000
Sites with 30-60 computers	1000	\$360	\$ 360,00	0 5	360,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 360,00	0 \$ 360,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 360,000 \$	2,520,000
Sites with 61-120 computers	300	\$360	\$ 108,00	0 5	108,000	\$ 108,000			\$ 108,000	\$ 108,000 \$	756,000
Sites with >120 computers	100	\$360			36,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,00	0 \$ 36,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,000 \$	252,000
Subtotal for local sites	1800)	\$648,0	200 5	648,000	\$648,000	\$648,00	00 \$648,00	\$648,000	\$648,000	\$4,536,000
State Backbone & Internet (b)	95	\$10,590			,006,620	\$1,006,620					
Any additional Backbone cost (c)			\$110,7	75	\$48,000	\$48,000	\$48,00	00 \$48,00	0 \$48,000	\$48,000 \$	398,775
Other and the anada (a)	,		617.630.1		1100 750	\$50,000	5600	001 550 00	d 660.00	d	(7.00(.001)
Other one-time costs (c) Other recurring costs (c)			\$17,538,1		178,750	\$987,386					
Other recurring costs (c)			\$5,696,4	34 32	,178,630	196,786	3387,3	avy 3787,38	3/8/,38	A 2181,3804 3	11,811,984
Total All Costs (h)			\$25,000,0	00 \$4	,080,000	\$2,740,00	\$2,340,0	00 \$2,540,00	\$2,540,00	\$2,540,000	\$41,780,000
(sum check) (h)			323,000,0	•	,000,000	02,710,00	4 03,3 10,0	32,5 13,51	30,515,50	32,510,009	\$41,780,000
(, ()										L.	***************************************

Sources of Payments(d)											
Amount paid by State & Local***(d.i)			\$1,000,0	00 \$4	,080,000	\$2,740,00	\$2,340,0	00 \$2,540,00	\$2,540,00	0 \$2,540,000	\$17,780,000
Amount of Other Funding offered				<u></u>			منحصنحم		-1		لنحننتك
by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500,0	00	\$0	\$()(102	50 5	0 \$0	\$7,500,000
Savings from existing State & Local											
paid to proposer for expansion (d.iii)				so	\$0	\$	0	\$0	50 \$	ol sol	\$0
Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)			\$16,500,0	00	\$0	\$	0	\$0	50 \$	0 20	\$16,500,000
Total All Payments: *** (d.v)			\$25,000,0	00 \$4	1,080,000	\$2,740,00	0 \$2,340,0	\$2,540,0	00 \$2,540,00	0 \$ 2,540,000	\$41,780,000
(sum check)	r										\$41,780,000
Total Savings proposed by vendor											
under current state & local costs (e)				\$0	\$0	<u> </u>	0	\$0	50	so s o	\$0
Calculations of FCC discount	pi.		1.4.6		¢	7-46 -	44 Z -	Est C	64L C =	54. C.	W" - 4 *
	Disc		ist 6 mo.		6 mo,	3rd 6 mo.	4th 6 ma.	5th 6 mo.	6th 6 ma.	7th 6 mo.	Total
for each 6 mo. Period	% ••		Jul-Dec 98*	Jan-J	un 99*	Jul-Dec 99*	Jan-Jun 00	Jul-Dec 00	Jan-Jun 01*	Jul-Dec 01*	3.5 YF5***
Costs eligible for FCC discount	66%		\$25,000,	700	\$0		io[\$0	sol :	50 50	\$25,000,000
Costs ineligible for FCC disount	0%		\$25,000,	102	\$0		iol			50 50	\$23,000,000
monBine for I CC disonist	U76		L	3 0]	30	<u> </u>	<u>''</u>		<u>**I</u>	301	301
Sum of all discounts from FCC			\$16,500,	000	\$0	<u> </u>	0	50	\$0	102 103	\$16,500,000
(sum check)			1,0,200,			·					\$16,500,000
*See explanatory note (g)										ı	
**See explanatory note (f)											
AAAA											•

NO E-RATE FUNDING AFTER 18 MONTHS

Proposed Type of Expense	M of Sites	6 mo per site cost	1st 6 mo.		ind 6 mo. an-Jun 99*	3rd 6 mo.		ith 6 mo. Jan-Jun 00*	5th 6 mo. Jul-Dec 00*			7th 6 mo. Jul-Dec 01*	72	Total
D. Dabense	51163	SHE COSI	Jul-Dec 30.	2	<u> </u>	Jul-Dec 2	2_ 2	Vall-Sall AV	Jul-Dec 00	THIL	701: 01	Zui-Dec Ui	2	
Local Site total costs: (a)									_					. •
Sites with < 30 computers	400	\$360	\$ 144,0	00	\$ 144,000	\$ 144	000	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,00	0 5	144,000	\$ 144,000	\$	1,008,000
Sites with 30-60 computers	1000	\$360	\$ 360,0	00	\$ 360,000	\$ 360	,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 360,00		360,000		\$	2,520,000
Sites with 61-120 computers	300	L						\$ 108,000			108,000			756,000
Sites with > 120 computers	100	\$360			\$ 36,000			\$ 36,000			36,000			252,000
Subtotal for local sites	1800)	\$648,	000	\$648,000	\$64	8,000	\$648,000	\$648,0	00	\$648,000	\$648,000		\$4,536,000
State Backbone & Internet (b)	95	\$10,59	6 \$1,006,	620	\$1,006,620	00,12	6,620	\$1,006,620	31,006,6	20	\$1,006,620	\$1,006,620	\$	7,046,340
Any additional Backbone cost (c)			\$110,	775	\$110,77	\$11	6,050	\$48,000	\$48,0	00	\$48,000	\$48,000	5	529,600
Other one-time costs (c)			\$17,538,	151	\$2,457,695	\$1,55	7,695	\$251,87	\$251,8	75	\$50,000	\$50,000	S	22,157,291
Other recurring costs (c)			\$5,696,		\$7,776,91			\$385,50		05	\$787,380	\$787,380	5	20,749,592
Total All Costs (h)			\$25,000	000	\$12,000,00	\$8,05	8,824	\$2,340,00	0 \$2,540,0	000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	1	\$55,018,824
(sum check) (h)						-L			المرتبي وينشان ويوسي ويطار		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	}	匸	\$55,018,824

Sources of Payments(d)														
Amount paid by State & Local***(d.i)			\$1,000	,000	\$4,080,00	0 \$2,74	0,000	\$2,340,00	0 \$2,540,0	000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000		\$17,780,000
Amount of Other Funding offered								,						
by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500	,000	S	0	\$0	\$	0)	\$0	\$() s(1	\$7,500,000
Savings from existing State & Local						-T				<u> </u>				
paid to proposer for expansion (d.iii) Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)			\$16,500	\$0	\$7,920,00		\$0 8,824		0	\$0 \$0	\$(\$29,738,824
Discount pand by 1 CC to proposet(d.tv)			\$10,300	,000	\$7,920,00	<u>vi</u> \$3,31	0,027	!	<u> </u>	301	3\	2)	<u>"</u>	329,738,824
Total All Psyments: *** (d.v)	•		\$25,000	,000	\$12,000,00	0 \$8,0	8,824	\$2,340,00	\$2,540,	000	\$2,540,00	0 \$2,540,00	o	\$55,018,824
(sum check)													L	\$55,018,824
Total Savings proposed by vendor under current atate & local costs (e)				\$0		0	\$0		50	\$0	5	5 0	त -	\$0
maner emitens with en local costs (c)			L	30		4		<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	301	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	301
Calculations of FCC discount	Disc		lsi 6 mo.		2nd 6 mo.	3rd 6 m		4th 6 mo.	5th 6 mo.	4.	h 6 mo.	7th 6 mo.		Total
for each 6 mo. Period	12135 % **							Jan-Jun 00*	Jul-Dec 00		n b mo. n-Jun 01 *	Jul-Dec 01*		
191 tata w mw. 1 errou	/6		Jul-Dec 98	-	Jan-Jun 99*	Jul-Dec	22.	780-100 VV	101-1566 00		11-7011 01	101-126C 01-		3.5 yrs***
Costs eligible for FCC discount	66%		\$25,000	,000	\$12,000,00	00 \$8,0	58,824		o	\$0	\$	0 5	0	\$45,058,824
Costs ineligible for FCC discunt	0%			\$0		0	\$0	21	0	\$0		0 5	0	\$0
Sum of all discounts from FCC			\$16,500	0,000	\$7,920,00	00 \$5.3	18,824	4	02	\$0	<u> </u>	50 5	0	\$29,738,824
(sum check)					1	····		- 				-4	+	\$29,738,824
*See explanatory note (g)													٠	
AACaa auglamaaa ay ay (O														

**See explanatory note (f)
***See explanatory note (h)

NO E-RATE FUNDING AFTER 30 MONTHS

Proposed Type	# of	6 mo per	1st 6 mo.	2nd 6 mo.	3rd 6 mo.	4th 6 mo.	5th 6 mo. (Sth 6 mo.	7th 6 mo.	Total
of Expense	Sites	site cost	Jul-Dec 98.	Jan-Jun 99*	Jul-Dec 99*	Jan-Jun 00*	Jul-Dec 00*	an-Jun 01*	Jul-Dec 01*	3.5 yrs.***
Local Site total costs: (a)										.•
Sites with < 30 computers	400	\$360	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000	0 \$ 144,000	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000 \$	1,008,000
Sites with 30-60 computers	1000									2,520,000
Sites with 61-120 computers	300							\$ 108,000		756,000
Sites with >120 computers	100	\$360						\$ 36,000		252,000
Subtotal for local sites	1800)	\$648,00	\$648,00	\$648,00	0 \$648,000	\$648,000	\$648,000	\$648,000	\$4,536,000
State Backbone & Internet (b) '	95	\$10,596	5 \$1,006,62	0 \$1,006,63	20 \$1,006,62	0 \$1,006,620	\$1,006,620	\$1,006,620	\$1,006,620 \$	7,046,340
Any additional Backbone cost (c)		, , ,	\$110,77					\$48,000	\$48,000 \$	718,450
Other one-time costs (c)			\$17,538,15	\$2,457,69	95 \$1,557,69	5 \$233,253	\$50,000	\$262,500	\$262,500 \$	22,361,794
Other recurring costs (c)			\$5,696,45				المستند والمستندوا	\$574,880		29,829,181
Total All Costs (h)			\$25,000,00	0 \$12,000,0	00 \$8,058,82	\$6,882,353	\$7,470,588	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	\$64,491,765
(sum check) (h)									L_	\$64,491,765
Sources of Payments(d)										
Amount paid by State & Local***(d.i)			\$1,000,00	0 \$4,080,0	00 \$2,740,0	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000 \$	17,780,000
Amount of Other Funding offered			1,155,155		331 331		1		1	
by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500,00	0	\$0	sol so	\$0	\$0	\$0 S	7,500,000
Savings from existing State & Local					**************************************				·/········	
paid to proposer for expansion (d.iii)			\$			so so				-
Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)			\$16,500,00	57,920,0	55,318,8	24 \$4,542,35	\$4,930,588	\$0	\$0 \$	39,211,765
Total All Payments: *** (d.v)			\$25,000,00	00 \$12,000,0	8,058,8	24 \$6,882,35	3 \$7,470,588	\$2,540,000	S2,540,000 S	64,491,765
(sum check)	•		323,000,00	79 312,000,0	30,030,0	24 30,002,33	37,470,580	\$2,540,000	1 32,540,000 3	\$64,491,765
Total Savings proposed by vendor									L.	301,131,103
under current state & local costs (e)			1	iol	\$0	so s	0 \$0	\$(02 0	02
			L	- 		<u></u>	· 		-1	
Calculations of FCC discount	Disc		1st 6 mg.	2nd 6 mo.	3rd 6 mo.	4th 6 ma.	5th 6 ma.	6th 6 ma.	7th 6 mg.	Total
for each 6 mo. Period	% **		Jul-Dec 98*	Jan-Jun 99*	Jul-Dec 99*		Jul-Dec 00*	Jan-Jun 01*	Jul-Dec 01*	3.5 yrs***
Costs eligible for FCC discount	66%		\$25,000,0	00 \$12,000,0	000 \$8,058,8	24 \$6,882,35	3 \$7,470,581	\$	02 0	59,411,765
Costs ineligible for FCC disount	0%			0	\$0	\$0 S	0 \$0	2	0 50	
Sum of all discounts from FCC			814 600 0	00 67 020	000 \$5,318,1	34,542,35	3 \$4,930,58	0	102 10	102112/61
(sum check)			\$16,500,0	00 \$7,920,	1,814,64 1000	34,342,33	מכ,טנע,דב וני	3	0 \$0	\$ 39,211,765 \$39,211,765
*See explanatory note (g)									Ĺ	337,211,765
**See explanatory note (f)						•				

Reviewer Questions for EIVA Response to RIT 97.2

March 10, 1998

Deliver via email with confirmation phone call to Jackie Shrage (shrago) oten nash ten ki 2 m us), 615-532-1729

8) E-Rate Form 471 filing for the first E-Rate period requires a detailed list of services. Elease provide sufficient detail for July 1-Dec 31, 1998. Easts for these ilems will also need to be detailed but should be provided in a separately sealed cost information package which will be opened at the time that the Cost Proposals are opened.

On July 1, 1998, ENA will provide a basic level of service for all schools. This will include an installed router at each school, which will be connected to a computer or a network of computers at the school. This school router will be connected to an ISDN line; in some cases, the router may be connected to two ISDN lines. The telecommunications lines will be connected to an ENA router in each county. This county router ultimately allows the school's Internet traffic to reach the Internet through a variety of contracted services, which are the responsibility of ENA.

On July 1, ENA will begin providing new service levels, in addition to the basic level of service described above. These levels of services will be paid for in two parts. The "One Time, Pre-Discount Cost" will be incurred with the installation of new equipment and upgraded telecommunications lines. The second, ongoing charge will be the "Monthly Pre-Discount Cost." Please see the attached Excel spreadsheet for service level rollout schedules and "block 5" of Form 471. These service level charges are consistent with industry standards for what a single school would receive when "connecting to an internet Service Provider (ISP) or other and user" (see Block 5 of Form 471 has items in quotation marks). The primary result of these increased service levels will be reliability and response time improvements. ENA believes these levels of service and the detail provided will be sufficient to complete form 471. In the event it is not sufficient ENA will provide any details necessary.

Each new service level impacts all K-12 schools because each upgrade affects the Internet traffic on the entire network. Therefore, the overall performance of the entire network is improved.

Service Level	Description
	Basic network delivered to all ISOO schools includes connections of school computer or network to an ENA school router, which is connected to an installed ISDN line. The school is connected to an ENA education County Router, which is
	connected to the Internet through an ENA contracted service. ENA Service includes Help Desk maintenance, ISDN line costs and overall service
	management. All provided on July 1, 1998.
2	Installation of Education Hub Sites, firewalls, caching servers, installation of upgraded Help Desk monitoring tools, etc.
3	First-month service level upgrades: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line installations, school routers associated with bandwidth upgrades, school and metro caching, ECR router upgrades.
4	Second-month service level upgrade: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line installations, school routers associated with bandwidth upgrades, school and metro caching installation, ECR router upgrades.
5	Third-month service level upgrade: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line installations, school routers associated with bandwidth upgrades, school and metro caching installation, ECR router upgrades.
6	Fourth-month service level upgrade: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line installations, school routers associated with bandwidth upgrades, school and metro caching installation, ECR router upgrades.
7	Fifth-month service level upgrade: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line installations, school routers associated with bandwidth upgrades.
8	Sixth-month service level upgrade: includes Dual ISDN connectivity, CDS line

See creativity section of ENA RFP Technical response, pages 60-64.

ENA Monthly Service Levels	1						77.6.6
One time system delivery or upgrade of	service	L					Total
						0 00	1st Six
evel of Service One Time Costs	Jul-98	Aug-98	Sep-98	Oct-98	Nov-98	Dec-98	Months
8ervice Level I - Basic Network	7,950,000						7,950,000
Service Level 2	1,845,546						1,845,546
Service Level 3	1,790,552						1,790,552
Bervice Level 4		1,681,151					1,691,151
Service Level 5	14		1,207,140				1,207,140
Beryce Level 6	Tage 1989			1,207,140	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1,207,140
Service Level 7				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,134,489		1,134,489
Service Level 8						858,234	858,234
Service Level 9	1945, 2007			-			3.
Bervice Level 10	1						
Service Level 11	计2006 数		,			-1	
Service Level 12	" "				,		$\mathcal{X}_{p,q}$
Service Level 18							
Service Level 14	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	' *	1				
Service Level 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			3.0				erioja ir
Service Level 15	13.00			,			-
Service Lavel 17	1. 3° 1. 199			1 4	3.		9,4
Bervice Level 18			10	:	77.		
	STORY .	7 (1.4			1 44 Mg 1 1 4 1		1
A STATE OHE TIME SHAPE SUPPLY	11,586,098	1,691,151	1,207,140	1,207,140	1,134,489	858,234	17,584,25
从而是16年发生的1997年	\$\frac{1}{2} \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau	19.		.)		1	77
	第四次 多点	A STATE OF	A 328 (1)			The contract of the	THE PROPERTY.
Service Live	V SEE SE	4.77	3 3 3 5	व पुरस्कान	John Harpita	180 y 1844 1950	2.5
Besic Service	14-2106,000	108,000	108,000	108,000	108,000	108,000	848,00
Basic Internet Access	167,770	167,770	167,770	187,770	167,770	167,770	1,008,82
Service Level 2	210,253	201,718	193,179	184,641	176,104	171,418	1,137,81
Bervice Level 3	\$222.492	131,084	± 131,054	131,064	131,084		877.81
Beryos avel		274,630	146,546	148,548	148,548		B58.71
Barres Lava Barres	The second of	21,1000	293,081	132,539	132,539		
Service Level 6 25 Care Control	8 20 20 20	- C	200	371,618	176,719	176,718	726.25
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3, 1,616	476,781	249,125	
BOYER STOLEN	A COMPANY OF STREET	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A PART CONTRACTOR	10 m 10 m 10 m 10 m 10 m 10 m 10 m 10 m	710,161		727,90
		100 700 20	The state of the s	31 W. C. C.		635,483	
Total Recurring Straight	708,815	685,680	1,041,639	1,244,878	1,619,628	191,918,615	7,815,74
		一次国际	TO THE REAL PROPERTY.				A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
A COMMON OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	《13512,294,612	2,574,230	2,248,778	2,451,517	2,854,012	2,776,849	25,000,00

ENA PROPOSAL OVERVIEW FOR SCREENING PURPOSES

		PER	PER	PER
TOTAL		STUDENT CO	MPUTER	SCHOOL
		900,000		SECTION OF A
	Const		90,000	1,600
				4. 其
TOTAL CONTRACT	:4\$	\$ 82.61	\$	\$
74,352,941.	.00		826.14	46,470.59
				100 mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg mg
PER YEAR AMOUNT	\$	\$ 23.60	\$	
21,243,697.	43		236.04	13,277.31
				A STATE
PER MONTH	::\$	1.97	· **\$	\$
1,770,308.	12		19.67	1,106.44
PER HOUR PER STUDENT PER WEEK		0.16	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

The goal is to provide every student with 3 hours access per week.

The above numbers are provided for your review. Form 471 provides space to put the number of schools, students and computers expected to be served by the contract. The Schools and Library Corporation is expected to use screens to determine if the contract provides service at or below industry pricing standards. We believe our per-student, per-computer, and per-school costs are lower than industry standards and will meet the requirements of the FCC screen.

As a comparison, a month-to-month price for providing BellSouth net Frame Relay service at 384Kbps with no guaranteed bandwidth (0 CIR) is \$1,694. ENA's proposed per school price of \$1,100 per month, including guaranteed service levels for web page delivery and full maintenance and support, demonstrates the economies of our program. ENA's caching and support services also improve the reliability of site-specific access.

Staffing Adjustments Due To E-Rate Variations

Management Function	Staffing with E-Rate Funding	Staffing w/o E-Rate Funding
Help Desk	4 Help Desk Technicians	3 Help Desk Technicians
	1 NOC Manager	1 NOC Manager
	2 NCR Techs (yearly	Remote Tier II support
	renewable contract)	
	2 Tier II Technicians	
Network Upgrade	1 Project Manager	None
Management	1 Project Coordinator	
	Off-site Lucent staging team	
Field Service	12 Lucent field engineers	None
	dedicated to the State contract	
	2-hour response time in metro	
	areas	}
	4-hr response time in rural	
٦	areas	
ENA School Partners (ESP)	8-person ESP Team visiting	None
	schools to identify current and	
	future needs	

In addition, the plan should address how the proposer will:

5.2.4.3.11

(a) meet end user requirements of support to the desktop and respond to varying degrees of technology skill within Local Education Agencies,

ENA will deliver all services and meet all requirements listed in the pro forma contract. ENA's Help Desk will be staffed by a team from Lucent Technologies, ISDN-Net and NCR. Lucent Technologies NetCare Services Division currently operates a support center specifically for network hardware and circuit support to both trained and untrained end-users.

ISDN-Net has broad experience servicing business and residential customers with browser support and Internet access problem resolution. Their experience will be invaluable in creating a strong, responsive Help Desk.

ENA-contracted NCR technicians, David Jones and Jeff Little, have extensive experience with the ConnecTEN project and will be mentors to new personnel on the Help Desk. NCR technicians trained the current Help Desk personnel approximately 8 months ago after managing much of the original network installation and Help Desk for ConnecTEN. They will also provide an indepth understanding of OIR resources, since they have worked with OIR for the last 8 months. All Help Desk personnel are familiar with the current software commonly found in the schools; i.e. Netscape 2.02 and Novell TCP/IP.

Technical training will be a core component of ENA's response to the varying degrees of technology skill within local education agencies. ENA intends to support a Technology Coordinators' (TCs) web page that will provide the following:

- Technical white papers
- Simple technology overviews (See Appendix H "CDS and Frame Relay for Normal People")
- Technical glossary of network terms
- Technical specifications of actual network components, protocols and circuits.

AGREEMENT

For good and valuable consideration, including but not limited to the State's execution of the Contract Between the State of Tennessee, Department of Education ("Contract") and Education Networks of America ("ENA") dated April 7, 1998, ENA agrees as follows:

In the event the legality of this contract, the legality of the process resulting in this contract, the authority of the officials executing this contract, or any other matter related to or arising from the contract is successfully challenged in a forum of competent jurisdiction so that any of the following occurs;

- 1) the contract is found void;
- 2) the contract is found to be illegal or otherwise in violation of the law; or
- 3) an injuction is issued prohibiting in any way performance of the contract

the parties agree that any such finding shall not place either party in breach of contract or result in either party being liable to the other for any type of damages or compensation; provided, however, if said finding is made after July 1, 1998, ENA may seek compensation for services actually completed and delivered. A finding under either 2) or 3) above shall entitle the State to terminate the contract either immediately or in stages.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF:

Education Networks of America, LLC:

Albert F. Ganier III

DATE: 4-7-98

Department of Education:

Jape Walters, Commissioner

DATE 12 12 1998

APPROVED:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION:

John D. Ferguson Commissioner

DATE: 47/58

COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY

William R. Snodgrass, Comptroller

DATE: 4-7-98

0

		CON	TRACT SU	MMARY 5	HEETO				
New Co	INTRACT		DP RV	S C	ONTRACT NUMBER	ŘES NUM	RFS NUMBER		
X AMENO	MENT #1		DG DNC	F	FA-99-12803-01	331.258-0	331.258-034		
OTHER C	CONTRACTING PARTY	(VENDOR): G	RANTEE"	VENDOR I.D.	NUMBER:				
	Education Ne	tworks of America		_⊠∨	C V 621647709	00			
STATE AGE	NCY: Department	of Education		DIVISION LO	ocal Finance				
	CONTACT: Jackie S		······································	FISCAL CONTACT: Jeff Roberts					
FLOOR(SUITELEPHONE	TE)/BLDG.; AJ Towe : 532-122			FLOOR(SUITE)/BLDG.: AJ Tower, 6th Floor TELEPHONE: 532-1658					
ALLOTMEN CODE	T COST CENTER	MAJOR & MINOR OBJECT CODE	FUND	Grantison STARS	GRANT		AGE RBBM		
331.25	035	083	25	✓ Yes					
CONTRAC	TTERM:						n in		
BEGIN DATE				END DATE:	December 31, 20	01			
ESTIMATE	D EXPENDITUR	ES BY FISCAL YEA	R AND FUND	ng source					
FY	CT+		Lunganor		0	TOTAL CONTRACT . INCLUDING ALL AME			
1999	STATE \$12,580,000	FEDERAL	INTERDE	PARTMENTAL	OTHER		580,000		
2000	\$5,080,000		•			\$5,0	080,000		
2001	\$5,080,000				•		080,000		
2002	\$2,540,000)				\$2,5	540,000		
TOTAL	\$25,280,000)				\$25,2	280,000		
CONTRAC	T SCOPE / SERV	TICE DESCRIPTION	V:						
Purpose is	s to change the so	me payment clause	language and	attach a payn	nent schedule.				
SHECK OF	NE FOR EACH C	ATEGORY:							
		STRICTLY LIMITED. WARD TO SUBSEQUENT	FISCAL YEARS V	MTHIN THE CONT	RACT TERM.				
X		NTEE IS ALREADY SE		S ON ACH.	-	·			
		FORM W-9 INFORMA W-9 IS ATTACHED	TION IS ON F	ILE IN ACCOU	JNTS.				
			IS A SLIBBECT	DIENT AS DEEN	ED BY OMB CIRCULA	Ρ Δ-133			
	X				OMB CIRCULAR A-13				
FISCAL	ÖFFICER/DES	IGNEE APPROV	AL.	GMPI ETE	FOR AMENDME	NTS ONLY			
. ಎ. ನಾಲದಲ್ಲದ್ ಕಳಿಸಿದರೆ.ಟಿ	একর চাওক প্রকাশক করি। ই.ই.ই.ই.ই.ইনিক করি।		A SECTION OF SECTION						
	1		}		ORIGINAL CONTRACT		ENT		
()	in C. Kom	212 4/1	-/65	TERMINATION	AND PRIOR AMENDMEN 12/31/20				
SIGNATURE		DATE	120	DATE:	1213 1120				
OCA USE	ONLY:			FY FUNDING:					
				999	\$12,580,0		0		
				2000 2001	\$5,080,06 \$5,080,0		0		
				2002	\$2,540,0	7	0		
				TOTAL:	\$25,280,0	00	0		
P	louted for Approva	Prior Yea	r RFS Number	331. <u>N/A</u>	Prior Year Fu	nding <u>N/A</u>	(
S	Supervisor of Progra	ım Arca 4/14/	98 Budget/Pla	าเกเนอ	4/14/98 Fundin	g/Performance			
	Commissioner Sign:		Legal	ь		ized to Print			
	СА		F& A Ac	counts	Vendor copy to Program Staff				

Allotment Code 331,25

RFS Number 331.258-034

Cost Center
Grant Code

035 N/A

AMENDMENT NUMBER 1 TO CONTRACT FA-99-12803-00

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND EDUCATION NETWORKS OF AMERICA

This Contract, by and between the State of Tennessee, Department of Education, hereinafter referred to as the State, and Education Networks of America, hereinafter referred to as the Contractor, is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. Delete following Section in its entirety:
 - A.11.10 Payment. The State agrees to pay within forty-five (45) days after receipt of the invoice covering the delivered items or services in accordance with the Prompt Payment Act of 1985 (TCA 12-4-703). The State is not responsible for any discounts received by the Contractor from the E-Rate Fund, as described in the proposal. The State shall pay the one-time costs and recurring monthly cost for basic service, upon provision of service, as defined as "Total All Payments" (line d.v) Exhibit 3 for No E-Rate funding. The payment for the ConnectTEN network will be received as a credit that will be applied by the State against invoices received from ENA by September 30, 1998 for basic services. If it is determined by the State, in the State's sole discretion, that such payment arrangement is not authorized, then ENA shall pay the State of Tennessee the purchase price for the network by cashier's check or similar form acceptable to the State, on July 1, 1998. To the extent that E-Rate funding is available, the Contractor will apply all E-Rate supplements to enhancing the basic services as defined in Exhibit 1. The State may withhold payment for failure to respond as stated in this contract or other non-performance of responsibilities.

AMENDMENT #1 TO CONTRACT FA-99-12803-00

CONTRACT
BETWEEN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE,
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
AND
EDUCATION NETWORKS OF AMERICA

The following Section is hereby substituted for Section A.11.10 in the original contract:

Payment. The State agrees to pay within forty-five (45) days after receipt of the invoice covering the delivered items or services in accordance with the Prompt Pay Act of 1985 (TCA 12-4-703). The State is not responsible for any discounts received by the Contractor from the E-rate Fund, as described in the proposal. In the event there is no E-rate funding, the State shall pay the one-time costs and recurring monthly cost for basic service, upon provision of service, as defined as "Total All Payments" (line d,v) Exhibit 3 for No E-Rate funding. In the event of Full E-rate funding, the State shall pay the one-time costs and recurring monthly cost for all service levels, upon provision of service, as defined in "Total All Payments" (line d.v.) Attachment A (attached hereto) for Full E-Rate funding. Contractor agrees that this amendment does not increase the liability of the State or the amounts available or owed to Contractor. The payment for the ConnecTEN network will be received as a credit that will be applied by the State against invoices received from ENA by September 30, 1998 for basic services. If it is determined by the State, in the State's sole discretion, that such payment arrangement is not authorized, then ENA shall pay the State of Tennessee the purchase price for the network by cashier's check or similar form acceptable to the State, on July 1, 1998. To the extent that E-Rate funding is available, the Contractor will apply all E-Rate supplements to enhancing the basic services as defined in Exhibit 1. The State may withhold payment for failure to respond as stated in this contract or other non-performance of responsibilities.

The other terms and conditions of this Contract not amended hereby shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF:
Education Networks of America, LLC:

Albert F. Ganier, III

Department of Education:

Date: 4/14/98

Date: 4-14-98

Jane Walters, Commissioner

APPROVED:

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION:

John D. Ferguson, Commissioner

DATE: 4/14/98

COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY:

William R. Snodgrass, Comptroller of the Treasury

DATE: <u>4/14/18</u>

ATTACHMENT A

FULL E-RATE FUNDING

	***		6 me per site cast	Lse 6 ma. [y]-Dec 91°	2nd 6 mg. Jan-Jug 99°	3rd 6 mo. <u>Jul-Dec 99*</u>	ith 6 mo, ian-lun 00°		6th 6 mo. Jan-Jun Cl°	7th 6 me. 3ui-Dec 01*	Total 3.5 yrs
1	Local Site total costs: (a) Sites with < 30 computers	408	5360	\$ 154,000	\$ 144,000	\$ 144,000	5 144,600	5 144,400	\$ 144,000	S 144,000 S	1,008.000
	Sites with 30-60 computers	1000	\$360	\$ 360,000	5 360,000	5 369,000	5 360,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 160,000 5	1.520,000
	Sites with 61-120 computers	300	5360	\$ 108,600	000,80f ?	\$ 108,000	5 108,000	5 (08,000	\$ 108,000	2 108,000 5	756,000
	Sites with >120 computers	160	\$360	\$ 16,000	5 36,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 36,080	5 36,000	5 36,808 5	252,000
	Subtotal for local sites	1800		56-68,000	\$648,000	\$648,000	5648,900	5648,000	5648,000	000,81-92	54,536,900
	Siate Backbone & (mernet (b)	95	\$10,596		\$1,006.620			\$1,006,624	\$1,006,620	\$1,006.620 S	7,046,340
	Any additional Backbone cost (c.)			\$110,775	\$110,775	5136,050	\$142,425	\$142,425	\$142,425	\$142,425 \$	907.300
	Other one-time costs (c)			\$10,988,217	\$2,511.695	\$1,557,695	5233,253	\$50.000	550,000	\$50,000	\$15,440,860
	Other recurring costs (c.)		•	\$8.019.056	59.486.577	\$6,994,123	\$4,852,655	\$5.623.543	\$5,623,543	55.623.543	\$16,422,441
	Total Ali Costs (h)			\$20,772,668	\$13,963.667	\$10,322,484	\$6,842,353	\$7,470,588	57,470,588	\$7,470,588	\$74,352,941
	+sum check) (h)									<u></u>	571,352,941
	Sources of Payments(d): Amount peid by Sinte & Local***(d.i)			\$2,540,000	\$1,540,000	52,740,000	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000 S	17,780,000
	Amount of Other Funding offered by proposer (d.ii)			\$7,500,000	S0	30	50	SO	50	SO S	7,500,000
	Savings from existing State & Local						,				
	çaid to proposer for expansion (d.iii)			50	SO	Sc	50	50	30	50	50)
	Payment Schedule										
	Amount paid by State in this time period			57,062,707	\$4,747,647	\$3,509,644	\$2,340,000	\$2,540,000	\$2,540,000	52,540,000 5	25,250,000
	. distant part of come in this time person			31,500,101		7 33,505,044	32,3-10,910	32,377,000	34,210,000	32,34,000	
-	Discount paid by FCC to proposer(d.iv)			\$13,769,961	\$9,216,020	\$6,812,842	54,542,353	\$4,930,588	\$4,930,588	\$4,930,588 5	19,072,941
	Total All Payments: *** (d.v) [sum check]			\$20,772,668	\$13,963,667	\$10,322,484	\$6,842,353	\$7,47 0 ,5 88	\$7,470,588	57,470,588	574,352,941 574,352,941
	State Reserve from Sources of Payment	.		52,977,293	\$769,646	1 5	J 50	SO	50	102	50
	Tatal Savings proposed by vendor	_				3		<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
	ander current state & joint casts (e)			SC	. 50) Se	50	\$6	50	50	50
	ADDEL EGALERY 21545 & SCALE CARD (a)				1:	<u></u>	<u></u>				
				- 3	- 1.		4.5		43.6		
	Calculations of FCC discount	Dus		Lat 6 me.	Znd 6 me.	Jed 6 mag.	4th 6 mo.	5th 6 ma.	in inc.	71b 6 mo.	Total
	for meh 6 ma. Period	% *	•	iul-Dec 98° ·	- Ian-lun 99°	N-Dec 99°	Jan-Jun 00°	Jul-Dec 06°	en-jun 01°	Jul-Dec Ot*	`15.vn***
	Costs sligible for FCC discount	66%		\$20,772,665	\$13,963,66	510,322,48	\$6,882,353	\$7,470,586	\$7,470.584	\$7,470,588	\$74,352,941
	Costs ineligible for FCC discunt	0%		35	S	5	D 50	50	50	50	\$0
	Sum of all discounts from FCC			\$13,709,96	\$9,216,02	56,812,84	2 \$4,542,353	\$4,930,584	\$4,930,586	\$4,930,588	\$49,872,941
	(sure check) 'See explanatory note (g)										5464344

Page 4 of 4 page

**Sea explanatory note (f)
***Sea explanatory note (h)